

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,503	12/12/2003	Hak Fei Poon	134417-1	1916
6147	7590 02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY			PATEL, ASHOK	
GLOBAL RI	ESEARCH OCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A	50	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309			2879	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/735,503	POON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashok Patel	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ja	anuary 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-131 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-27 and 44-131 is/		٦.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,13-15,28-33 and 39-43</u> is/are reje	ected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 34-38</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> is/a		ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,503

Art Unit: 2879

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-15 and 28-43 in the reply filed on 01/06/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Page 2

2. The information disclosure statement filed 12/12/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The three PCT prior art references are not considered since the IDS does not include these three PCT prior art references.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 6, last paragraph: reference numerals

Application/Control Number: 10/735,503

Art Unit: 2879

102, 104, and 106 are not shown in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: elements 102, 104 and 106. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the

Art Unit: 2879

abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," "comprises" should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 13-15, 28-33 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al (US PgPub 2005/0093001).

The applied reference has a common asignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2879

As to claim 1 and 28, Liu et al disclose applicant's claimed electro-luminescent device (Figures 22-5, 7-10), including: at least one electrode (1002) and an electro-luminescent layer (1006) disposed on the at least one electrode, wherein the electro-luminescent layer includes an electro-luminescent polymeric material (polyfluorine, polyphenylene, poly(para-phenylenevinylene and their combination (para. 0025), as recited in applicant's claims 1, 13, 39), and wherein the electro-luminescent layer has a first (planer) pattern disposed on a surface adjacent to the at least one electrode and has a substantially uniform thickness.

As to claims 2 and 28, Liu et al discloses device further including at least one conductive layer (1004) disposed between the at least one electrode (1002) and the electro-luminescent layer (1006).

As to claims 3, 4 and 29, 30, Liu et al disclose the at least one conductive layer having a second pattern disposed on a surface adjacent to the first pattern, the second pattern being identical (planar) to the first pattern.

As to claims 5, 14, 33 and 40, Liu et al disclose the at least one conductive layer including PEDOT (para. 0034), which satisfies applicant's claimed feature.

Art Unit: 2879

As to claims 6 and 31, Liu et al disclose the device being organic light emitting diode.

As to claims 7 and 32, the at least one electrode includes ITO (para 0034) satisfying applicant's claim.

As to claim 15, Liu et al disclose at least one electrode supported by a substrate, wherein the substrate is made of glass or plastic (para. 0004).

As to claims 41-43, these claims include process steps and are therefore of product-by-process nature. The process limitation recited therein is not given a patentable weight. Even though product-by-process claim is limited by process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process." MPEP 2113.

8. Claims 8-12 and 34-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior art of the record does not disclose applicant's claimed EL device of claim 1 wherein the first pattern includes at least one

coated portion having an electro-luminescent material and having a coated surface area and at least one uncoated portion having an uncoated surface area intersecting the coated portion to form a first coated area and a second coated area.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duggal et al, Schaepkens et al and Heller each are cited for showing a general structure of an OLED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

Application/Control Number: 10/735,503

Art Unit: 2879

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

Page 8